

MEMBERS OF THE
EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

22.August 2019

Subject: Petition regarding Germany inflicts intentional grievous harm on other European Union members

Ladies and Gentlemen of the European Parliament,

Bitterly experienced in just how high your importance and esteem are seen by the European Council. Your political will and agreements were simply ignored. 350 Members expressed this by not voting for Ms. von der Leyen. The directors of this was essentially Germany and was thus able to elegantly praise away an internal political problem. The other voices were probably due more to the factional pressure.

Please refer to the attached petition to the Committee on Petitions of the European Parliament to see what power games Germany still plays.

Find below the Petition with Exhibits 1-3 filed August 16, 2019 against the EU member state Federal Republic of Germany, represented by the government under the leadership of Madame Chancellor Dr. Angela Merkel. The EU petitioners listed here are beneficiaries with a valid claim and only a small part of 35,000 international investors. These victims have been grievously harmed for over 13 years by the violations perpetuated by Germany in relation to a 2007 settlement agreement signed by Dr. Jürgen-Peter Graf, former Presiding Judge of the 1. Criminal Senate of the Federal Supreme Court, Karlsruhe. Other court order and agreements have also been disregarded.

EU Citizens as petitioners / victims:

Austrian: Stephan Penika; Olaf Thiede; Robert Karas;
British: Martin Boothby; Douglas Death; Leonardo diAngelo; George Eade; Veronica Higgins;
John Holmes; Susan Khalil; Ian Milne; Michael Morris; Graham Pritchard; Parry Royce;
Sanjay Sethi; Graham Sinclair; Frank Thompson;
Bulgarian: Peter Stoyanov;
Dutch: Hans Verheijen; David Fredriksz;
Finnish: Kari Helin;
French: Patrice Ancelot; Alex Blanche; Gerard Burnel; Marc Gaston; Corinne Le Bail; Jean Ott;
Elyette Claire Maillet; Max Maillet; Patrick Maillet; Elyette Solier Maillet;
German: Ute Bortel; Dieter Bortel; Barbara Haselhoff-Schillings; Thoralf Haupt; Manfred Jung;
Andreas Klos; Gerhard Kurzhals; Ingrid Röser; Freia Willer; Klaus Willer;
Irish: Eamonn Anderson; Paul Edward Brown;
Norwegian: Eivind Aaslid; Torbjorn Batvik; Carl Haugan; Trygve Klovholt; Hans Kraaboel;
Odd Steinar Nordlien; Einar Saether Aaslid; Hank William Samnøy;
Portuguese: Maria Luisa Augusta De Almeida Wicktorin;
Polish: Jan Lojewski;
Slovenian: Stanislav Milak;
Spanish: Jose Miguel Llamera Pinto;
Swedish: Eva Johansson; Robert Jonsson; Britt-Marie Jonsson; Gustav Modin; Venny Modin;
Hasse Wicktorin;

Cause for the petition in short description

Numerous statements made to several victims by the current court appointed fiduciary administrator, Mr. Simon J. Church, prompted further in-depth research. Mr. Church stated that:

- The funds from the 2007 escrow accounts “got tangled up with the **2012 EU bailout.**”
- A large investor group became engaged in negotiations with several representatives of the European Union and the Federal Republic of Germany. The purpose of the negotiations was the immediate need for collateral to back over US\$6 Trillion in emergency bonds to forestall the financial collapse of the Euro.
- Due to the immediate need for cash, the US\$300 Billion in beneficiary escrow accounts were pledged as collateral as a 30day bridge. After 30 days the escrow funds were to be substituted and the payments to the beneficiaries with the valid claims would immediately commence.
- In late April 2012, the “**EU Bailout Agreement for the Federal Republic of Germany**” and an ancillary agreement we call the “Agreement to Facilitate Payments” were approved by Madame Chancellor Dr. Angela Merkel and signed by Dr. Wolfgang Schäuble, at that time Minister of Finance of the Federal Republic of Germany.
- The Federal Republic of Germany effectively guaranteed all payment would be made promptly to the rightful beneficiaries in exchange for the “temporary” use of the escrow funds.
- Instead of making the payments, Germany joined an investment pool sponsored by the fiduciary administrator with full knowledge the funds being used belonged to beneficiary escrow accounts under the trust of the German Judiciary.

In-depth research discovered:

- The funds are currently located in 5 accounts of US\$60 Billion each and accessible by Mr. Church, the current court appointed fiduciary administrator.
- The term “temporary” is already taking over 7 years.
- Only the cover sheet of the ancillary agreement can be found in the official EU Bailout documents.
- Until September of 2018, the funds were being used as an investment vehicle for a few select individuals, entities and governments directly related to the Federal Republic of Germany.
- Extensive study of the past financial statements and future budgets, as well as public statements, suggest that as much as US\$25 Billion annually from the investment of the beneficiary escrow funds was being transferred to the German treasury since 2013.
- That this agreement allowed Germany to use its superior financial position as a form of pressure in negotiations with the other European Union member states.

Call for investigation

You are free-elected member of parliament, bound to your conscience by the understanding of the liberal-democratic basic order and can exercise a parliamentary control function. In this sense we ask you to follow this and ask the following questions to Germany:

- ❖ Where is the current fiduciary administrator, Mr. Simon J. Church, located and why he is not allowed to talk to the beneficiaries?
- ❖ Where are the beneficiary escrow funds?
- ❖ Where is the original 2007 settlement agreement and why is not made available?
- ❖ Why is the complete copy of the ancillary agreement to the “EU Bailout agreement for the Federal Republic of Germany” from April 2012 missing from the file in Brussels, Belgium?
- ❖ Not a judicial but a political question: Why does the current President of the Higher Regional Court of Frankfurt am Main, Dr. Roman Poseck, deny the existence of this matter and refuse to cooperate, although he has been in regular contact with Mr. Church and his legal counsel?

- ❖ Why has the German Chancellery forbidden officials and non-officials in to speak with the beneficiaries? (a list of persons is available by request)
- ❖ Why is it forbidden for journalists to bring this matter into the public eye? (a list of persons is available by request)
- ❖ How is the German Chancellery pressuring the European Banking Authority to ignore this enormous risk to the international banking community?

A further perspective – direct and indirect – from the point of view of other EU member states should be considered:

- What advantages has Germany gained from the "EU Bailout agreement for the Federal Republic of Germany"?
- What advantages has Germany derived from the ancillary agreement to the " EU Bailout agreement for the Federal Republic of Germany " since 2012?
- How could these advantages be achieved?
- To what extent (billions of euros) do these advantages amount?
- Where did these funds go?
- Who has profited from it?
- Could this also have disadvantaged the EU budget?
- Germany has forced other EU member states to take austerity measures, what disadvantages do these countries have (GDP loss, tax losses)?
- In return, how high is the damage (loss of interest, compensation etc.) for the beneficiaries of the escrow funds, because Germany has blocked the disbursement since 2012 and benefits from it?

For more information please visit the website at www.shortfingereddonald.com.

EBA, OLAF and GRECO were informed identically.

Appeal: Protect the fundamental values of the European Union against any kind of power corruption period.

Respectfully

Klaus Willer on behalf of the petitioners/victims